



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/748,431	12/26/2000	Benjamin Thomas Smith	GOOGLE-7 (GP-015-91-US)	4462
26479	7590	02/23/2005	EXAMINER	
STRAUB & POKOTYLO 620 TINTON AVENUE BLDG. B, 2ND FLOOR TINTON FALLS, NJ 07724			MAHMOUDI, HASSAN	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	2165

DATE MAILED: 02/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/748,431	SMITH ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Tony Mahmoudi	2165	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) John C. Pokotylo (Attorney of Record). (3) Tony Mahmoudi.  
(2) Sam Rimell. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 10 February 2005.

Type: a)  Telephonic b)  Video Conference  
c)  Personal [copy given to: 1)  applicant 2)  applicant's representative]

**Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:** d)  Yes e)  No.  
**If Yes, brief description:** .

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: *Cappi* (US 2002/0038308) and *Gilai et al.* (US 6,256,630).

Agreement with respect to the claims f)  was reached. g)  was not reached. h)  N/A.

**Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See *Continuation Sheet*.**

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Dickrell

Tony

**Examiner Note:** You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The attorney of record gave a brief explanation of the instant application and how, in his opinion, it was different from the cited prior art. For example, the attorney indicated that the primary reference cited by the examiner did not teach or suggest "ambiguous data component". The examiner pointed out that the primary reference, Cappi, taught an "ambiguity data element dictionary component 202" in paragraph 37, which was also depicted in figure 2. The attorney specified the user's entry of the ambiguous data was done through a telephone keypad via numeric entries, which were interpreted by the invention as alphabetic representation of the user's numeric key entries. The examiner pointed out that while the independent claims did not recite this limitation specifically, the secondary reference, Gilai et al. taught the numeric entry via a telephone keypad in figures 9-13 of his invention. The attorney stated that he would amend the independent claims to include further limitations, upon receipt of which, the examiner would update the search and report the results as appropriate.